UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	· CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/611,626	06/30/2003	Alfred Thomas	47079-00214	1390	
	7590 02/07/2007 BILCHRIST, P.C.		EXAMINER		
225 WEST WASHINGTON			PANDYA, SUNIT		
SUITE 2600 CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			3714		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· .		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	02/07/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			S
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/611,626	THOMAS, ALFRE	ĒD
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sunit Pandya	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of the standoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	-	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	ıl Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	5)	Informal Patent Application	

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :9/26/05, 7/8/05, 10/25/04, 5/3/04, 3/15/04, 6/30/03.

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. Acknowledgement is made of applicant's Oath/Declaration meets standard required by 35 U.S.C 25 & 115.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 05/05/2003 is
 acknowledged. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 &
 1.98. Accordingly, the examiner has considered the information disclosure statement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-9, 15-17, 21, 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sharpe (UK Patent GB 2,099,198).

Claims 1, 15 & 21: Sharpe discloses of a wagering game, wherein the player inserts a wager to play the game (page 1: 15-20), wherein the machine accrues a winning outcome based on a predetermined criterion (page 1: 38-50), wherein the game provides an option to a player to redeem winning outcome and display the winning combination to the player in response to the players' action and awarding the payout

Art Unit: 3714

associated with the winning combination (page 1: 45-80 and page 2: 22-45, wherein Sharpe teaches of having a predetermined set of criteria, wherein the criteria could be an action such as pressing a button taken by the players, to display the winning symbol combination on the viewing window).

Claims 2, 16 & 30: The wagering game disclosed by Sharpe is a mechanical slot machine (page 1: 5-15).

Claims 3 & 26: Sharpe discloses of a wagering game wherein a predetermined criterion to accrue a winning outcome includes a pre-selected symbol (page 1: 63-85).

Claim 4: Sharpe discloses of a wagering game wherein a predetermined criterion to accrue a winning outcome includes a pre-selected symbol combination (page 1: 63-85).

Claims 5, 17 & 27: Sharpe discloses of a wagering game wherein a predetermined criterion is a promotional event (page 1: 65-75, 105-120).

Claims 6, 28: Sharpe disclose of a random generated outcome as predetermined criterion (page 1: 63-70, page 2: 10-17).

Claim 7: Sharpe inherently discloses of having probability of occurrence of the winning symbol combination to be identical to the probability of occurrence of the winning combination during play of the wagering game when the option is not exercised (page 1: 105-125, wherein Sharpe teaches of having a predetermined set of criteria, wherein the criteria could be an action such as pressing a button taken by the players,

Art Unit: 3714

to display the winning symbol combination on the viewing window and the probability of winning when the button is pressed is equal to when the button not pressed).

Claims 8 & 9: Sharpe discloses of a wagering game wherein the winning symbol combination displayed is one of plurality of winning symbol combination from pay table (page 1-2: 114-5).

Claim 29: Sharpe discloses of a gaming device comprising plurality of symbol bearing reels, and a controller to cause the symbol bearing reels to rotate and stop to place symbols on the symbol bearing reels in a symbol array (page 1: 7-34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 10-12, 18, 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharpe as applied to the claims above in view of Gabrius et al. (US Patent 5,113,990).

Claims 10-11, 22-23: Sharpe discloses of a payout controller, which is a payout mechanism that outputs the winnings to the player depending on the result of the game (figure 1, element 5). However Sharpe fails to teach of a counter display to display the winnings on a game machine.

Art Unit: 3714

Gabrius et al. teaches of a gaming machine which includes a credit meter, which displays the winnings on a game machine to the players and increment the numbers if the player wins more and decrement the total number if the player loses or if the player decides to cash out. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to have modified the machine taught by Sharpe to implement a credit meter to monitor the input and the output of the machine and the progress of the game to keep tracks of all the winnings awarded at the machine (col. 2: 3-15).

Claims 12, 18 & 24: Sharpe teaches of a bonus button that allows the player to redeem the winning accrued (page 2: 22-46).

7. Claims 13-14, 19-20, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharpe as applied to claims 1-12, 15-30 above, and further in view of DeMar et al. (US Patent 6,270,410).

Claims 13-14, 19-20 & 25: Sharpe substantially teaches the invention as claimed, however Sharpe fails to teach of a multiplier number associated with the value payout, and wherein the multiplier number is multiplied with the pay. DeMar et al. teaches of having a multiplier number, which is greater than one, wherein the value associated with the winning combination is multiplied by the multiplier number to increase the winnings (col. 3: 13-22). It would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the gaming machine taught by Sharpe to implement a multiplier number to associate with the winning combination to

Art Unit: 3714

increase player winnings for the players and thus making it fun and exciting to play the games for the players (col. 2: 2-3).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attached Notice of References cited page.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunit Pandya whose telephone number is (571) 272-2823. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F: 7:30 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert OLSZEWSKI can be reached on (571) 272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Art Unit: 3714

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SP

CORBETT B. COBURN PRIMARY EXAMINER